First Steps towards a New Vulnerability Index

Center for Environmental Systems Research, University of Kassel, Germany

Dörthe Krömker

D. Krömker, USF, Uni Kassel

Current Vulnerability Approaches

Bohle, Watts & Downing, 1994, 1996,2001

• Focus on food related issues



Lonergan, Gustavson & Carte 1998, 2000

- Focus on "security" issues
 - Threats
 - Capacity/Freedoms
 - Participation

as well:

- Environment
- Economy
- Society
- Institutions

Agent Based Approaches: Action Theory



Action Theory: "Protection Motivation"

Perception based appraisal process



Action Theory



Hypothesis

- The higher the Capacity, the more likely no disasters occur
- Capacity consists of Motivation and Competence
- A high Motivation is given, if agents perceive a situation as threatening, as challenging or if they feel responsible for potential damage
- A high Competence is given if no situational or person related barriers take effect on agents

Data and Action Theory



Operationalisation of the Models' Factors

- Vulnerability towards Droughts
- Construction of a measure for people being affected by drought related disasters (criteria, dependend variable)
- Construction of measures for situation and person related barriers

Measure for Situation and Person related Barriers

Available: •Socio-economic •Water Stress

data on national scale

Sources:HDR, WB, WRI

Theoretical Based Selection

High Intercorrelation: Reduction of Dimensions: Factor Analysis

Operationalisation of the Models' Factors

Indicators:

New Factors:



Operationalisation of the Models' Factors



Measure for Being Affected by Droughts

Source: Emergency Database

Information on national scale:

•Number of people affected

Affected People:

People requiring immediate assistance during a period of emergency: basic survival needs such as food, water, shelter, sanitation, immediate medical assistance

Furthermore: •financial damage •casualties

Measure for Being Affected by Droughts

Number of people affected?

Percentage of population affected?

- Dis_absolut
 - 0= no Disaster reported
 - 1= financial damage only
 - 2= up to 100.000 people affected
 - 3= up to 500.000 people affected
 - 4= up to 1 Mio people affected
 - 5= up to 5 Mio people affected
 - 6= more than 5 Mio

- Dis_percent
 - 0= no Disaster reported
 - 1= financial damage only
 - 2= up to 1% of population affected
 - 3= up to 10% of population affected
 - 4= up to 20% of population affected
 - 5= up to 30% of population affected
 - 6= more than 30% of population affected

Multiple Correlation (Regression Analysis)



r² : measure of fit between the influencing variables and the phenomenon to be explained

Multiple Correlation Model



D. Krömker, USF, Uni Kassel

Comparison of Different Indices



D. Krömker, USF, Uni Kassel

Weighed Components of the Vulnerability Index in Selected Countries







Zimbabwe (Vulnerability-Index = High)







Weighed Components of the Vulnerability Index in Selected Countries







United States (Vulnerability-Index = Medium)





Tanzania



Conclusions

- •Theoretical basement helps to choose indicators
- •An external criteria for the validity of the index is necessary and possible
- •No causal structure
- •Far away from peoples' reality
- •Certain amount of arbitrariness